36 91 TW

United States Patent and Trademark Office UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/049,191 02/06/2002 Keisuke Kanatani A-420 8155 7590 03/08/2006 EXAMINER Dellett and Walters ABDI, KAMBIZ 310 S W Fourth Avenue ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 1101 Portland, OR 97204 3621

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	- ;
10/049,191	KANATANI ET AL.	;
Examiner	Art Unit	:
Kambiz Abdi	3621	:

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kambiz Abdi	3621	:
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F 136(a) and the appropria	on. : ILED WITHIN te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	:
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.	:
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .			:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4 and 5</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			:
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:	- Ans	MAMBIZ A PHIMARY EXA	BDI MINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument based on the claims as they have been presented in their current form is not persuasive. Examiner believes that the prior art of record and the office action mailed on 23 September 2005 that were based on the claims 1 and 2, which the reference show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., photomask manufacturing) are not recited in the rejected claim(s) 1 and 2. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. Also as per claim the rejection of the claim is clearly stated in the previous office action under the U.S.C. 103 (a) rejection. It should be emphasized that any visual tracking is visual inspection, for example one looking at a picture of a sofa for the purposes of purchasing would be inspecting the sofa for its treats and if it is suitable or purchasing of a car one would look at a picture of a car online to see if such car is suitable for purchase by buyer. There is no further limitation than that in the clams as they have been presented in their current form. Hanzek reference clearly teaches the concept, method, and system of an online ordering of products and tracking the status of such order. The Hanzek reference clearly teaches the system and method for the purchaser to configure a product and placing such configured product as an order and tracking of the status of such order online. (See Hanzek column 2, lines 59-64, column 3, lines 9-18, and column 3, line 61- column 4, line 5)...

KNOX

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450 If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER





02 1A \$ 00.39° MAR 08 2006 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

RETURN TO SENDER

հոհանհանում անևանհան հանձան հայտանի անձև